



Problem Characterisation Pagham (PAGM)

This document describes the causes of the risks identified by the Baseline Risk and Vulnerability Assessment (BRAVA). The BRAVA results for this wastewater system are summarised in Table 1. The results indicate that pollution and water quality are the main concerns in this wastewater catchment. We have completed risk assessments for 2050 where we have the data and tools available to do so. For the other planning objectives, we will explore how we can predict future risks for the next cycle of DWMPs. All the risk assessment methods need to be reviewed after the first DWMPs have been produced with a view to improve the methods and data for future planning cycles.

Table 1: Results of the BRAVA for Pagham wastewater system

Planning Objectives		2020	Driver	2050
1	Internal Sewer Flooding Risk	0	-	
2	Pollution Risk	0	-	
3	Sewer Collapse Risk	0	-	
4	Sewer Flooding in a 1 in 50-year storm	0	-	0
5	Storm Overflow Performance	1	Hydraulic	1
6	WTW Water Quality Compliance	0	-	0
7	Flooding due to Hydraulic Overload	0	-	0
8	WTW Dry Weather Flow Compliance	1	Quality	1
9	Good Ecological Status / Good Ecological Potential	0	-	
10	Surface Water Management	0	-	
11	Nutrient Neutrality	2	Unknown	2
12	Groundwater Pollution	0	-	
13	Bathing Waters	0	-	
14	Shellfish Waters	NA	-	

Key

BRAVA Risk Band	
NA	Not Applicable*
0	Not Significant
1	Moderately Significant
2	Very Significant

*No issues relevant to planning objective within Wastewater System

Investment Strategy

The risks identified in this wastewater system mean that we have assigned the following investment strategy:

Improve

This means that we consider that the current performance of the drainage and wastewater system needs to be improved to reduce the impacts on our customers and/or the environment. We will plan investment to reduce the current risks by actively looking to invest capital funding in the short term to address current performance issues (and consider future risks when implementing improvements).




Planning Objective 1: Internal Sewer Flooding Risk

There have been zero (or less than 3) internal flooding incidents reported during the three year period considered by the risk assessment, so the risk is in the 'not significant' band.

Planning Objective 2: Pollution Risk

The number of pollution incidents reported during the three years considered by the risk assessment are shown in Figure 1. The length of sewer in this wastewater system means there have been less than 24.51 incidents per 10,000km per year (a threshold set by Ofwat) so the risk is in the 'not significant' band.

Figure 1: Number of pollution incidents per annum and causes



Planning Objective 3: Sewer Collapse Risk

The number of sewer collapses reported during the three years considered by the risk assessment are shown in Table 2. The length of sewer in this wastewater system means there have been less than 5.72 incidents per 1,000km per year (a threshold set by Ofwat) so the risk is in the 'not significant' band.

Table 2: Sewer collapses and rising main bursts

Sewer Collapse	2017/18	1
	2018/19	1
	2019/20	0
Rising Main Bursts	2017/18	0
	2018/19	0
	2019/20	0

Planning Objective 4: Sewer Flooding in a 1 in 50 Year Storm

The risk of flooding in a 1 in 50 year storm is not significant in 2020 or 2050. This is because our computer model of the sewer network indicate for 2020 that approximately 10 - 20 properties within this wastewater system are in areas that could flood by water escaping from sewers.

Our wastewater networks are generally designed with capacity for up to a 1 in 30 year storm, hence flooding is expected to occur during more severe storms such as a 1 in 50 year event. Flooding will occur due to insufficient capacity of the drainage system either on the surface before it enters the drainage system, and/or from manholes, in people's homes or at a low point elsewhere in the system.

Planning Objective 5: Storm Overflow Performance

The storm overflow performance risk has been assessed as moderately significant in 2020 and 2050. Table 3 shows the overflows that discharge above the low threshold set for storm overflow discharges to Shellfish Water, Bathing Water and inland rivers.

The primary driver for the Storm Overflow Performance is 'Hydraulic.'

Table 3: Overflows exceeding discharge frequency threshold per annum

	Number of overflows		Threshold for number of discharges per annum		
	2020	2050	Low	Medium	High
Shellfish Waters	0 Medium	0 Medium	Less than 8	Between 8-10	10 or more
Bathing Waters	0 Medium	1 Medium	Less than 3	Between 3-10	10 or more
Freshwater	1 Medium	1 Medium	Less than 20	Between 20-40	40 or more

Planning Objective 6: Wastewater Treatment Works Water Quality Compliance

The risk of non-compliance with our wastewater quality permit has been assessed as not significant for both 2020 and 2050. This is because the wastewater treatment works has no record of compliance failure during the last three years (2018-2020).

Planning Objective 7: Flooding due to Hydraulic Overload

Our initial assessment is that flooding from hydraulic overload is not significant in this wastewater catchment for both 2020 and 2050. Our network modelling indicates that the risk of flooding due to hydraulic overload is not significant in this wastewater system. This is because there are a small proportion of properties in areas at risk from flooding as shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Annualised number of properties at risk per 10,000 connections.

Rainfall Return Period (yr)	Number of Properties at Risk		Annualised per 10,000 connections	
	2020	2050	2020	2050
1 in 1	0	0	0	0
1 in 2	0	1	0	0
1 in 5	1	9	0	2
1 in 10	9	9	1	1
1 in 20	9	17	0	1
1 in 30	9	17	0	1
Total Annualised			2	4


This indicates that the wastewater network currently has capacity for storm events for which the system was designed and the capacity is unlikely to be exceeded in the future.

Planning Objective 8: Wastewater Treatment Works Dry Weather Flow Compliance

The risk of Wastewater Treatment Works Dry Weather Flow Compliance is moderately significant for both 2020 and 2050. This is because the average annual dry weather flow for 2017, 2018 and 2019 has been between 80% and 100% of the current permit, shown in Figure 2. The predicted DWF in 2050 is also expected to remain below 100% of the current permit.

The primary driver is 'Quality' due to the permit and capacity at the treatment work.

Figure 3: Recorded and predicted dry weather flow with existing permit




Planning Objective 9: Good Ecological Status / Good Ecological Potential

This wastewater system is not hydraulically linked to a waterbody where wastewater operations are contributing to not achieving GES/GEP, therefore the risk is not significant.

Planning Objective 10: Surface Water Management

Figure 3 illustrates the sources of water flowing in the wastewater system during a 1 in 20 year storm. It shows that surface water runoff from roofs, road and permeable surfaces constitutes more than 56.8% of the flow in the sewers. The total contribution of foul water from homes is 23.0% with business contributing 6.9%. The baseflow is infiltration from water in the ground and makes up 13.4% of the flow in the system.

Figure 3: Sources of water flowing in sewers during a 1 in 20 year storm



Planning Objective 11: Nutrient Neutrality

The risk to internationally designated habitat sites from this wastewater system is very significant in 2020 and 2050. This is because Natural England have advised that there is a risk to condition for the habitat sites that are hydraulically linked to our wastewater system, listed in Table 5.

Table 5: Habitat Sites hydraulically linked to wastewater system

Habitat Sites	
Pagham Harbour	Phosphate and Nitrate permit review required Overflow Spills
Solent and Dorset Coast	Phosphate and Nitrate permit review required Overflow Spills

Planning Objective 12: Groundwater Pollution

The risk of Groundwater Pollution is not significant. This is because the wastewater network in this wastewater system does not overlap with any groundwater Source Protection Zones (SPZ) used for water supply.

Planning Objective 13: Bathing Waters

The designated bathing waters that could be affected by discharges from this wastewater system are shown in Table 6, along with the current classification from the Environment Agency. The risks from this wastewater system on these bathing waters is not significant. This is because all the designated bathing waters affected by this wastewater system have passed annual inspections..

Table 6: Bathing Water annual results

Bathing Waters	Annual Results		
	2017	2018	2019
Pagham	Good	Excellent	Excellent

Planning Objective 14: Shellfish Waters

The discharges from this wastewater system do not impact on any designated shellfish waters.